

Three-dimensional solutions of M-theory on $S^1/Z_2 \times T_7$

Gabriel Lopes Cardoso

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University
NL-3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract: We review various three-dimensional solutions in the low-energy description of M-theory on $S^1/Z_2 \times T_7$. These solutions have an eleven dimensional interpretation in terms of intersecting M-branes.

1 Introduction

Eleven dimensional supergravity, the low-energy effective theory of M-theory, has four 1/2-supersymmetric solutions: the M-2-brane, the M-5-brane, the M-wave and (in the S^1 Kaluza-Klein vacuum) the M-monopole. We will refer to them collectively as M-branes. Intersections of these four basic configurations give rise to solutions preserving a smaller amount of supersymmetry (for a review see for instance [1, 2] and references therein). In the following, we will review various three-dimensional solutions in the low-energy description of M-theory on $S^1/Z_2 \times T_7$. These solutions have an eleven dimensional interpretation in terms of orthogonally intersecting M-branes.

The eleven-dimensional space-time line element describing a supersymmetric configuration of orthogonally intersecting M-branes is given in terms of harmonic functions which depend on some of the overall transverse directions [2, 3, 4]. If these overall transverse directions do not include the eleven-th dimension x_{11} , then its compactification on $S^1/Z_2 \times T_7$ down to three dimensions is also a solution of the low-energy effective field theory of ten-dimensional heterotic string theory compactified on a seven-torus. Such a solution is determined in terms of harmonic functions $H(z, \bar{z}) = f(z) + \bar{f}(\bar{z})$, where z and $\bar{z}$ denote the spatial dimensions. The static solutions presented in [5, 6, 7] are of this type. If, on the other hand, the overall transverse directions include the eleven-th dimension x_{11} , then compactifying the eleven-dimensional configuration on $S^1/Z_2 \times T_7$ gives rise to a solution which is determined in terms of harmonic functions $H(x_{11}, z, \bar{z})$. An example of such a supergravity solution has recently been given [8] in the context of M-theory compactified

on T_8 . There, it was also shown that this solution corresponds to a BPS state with mass that goes like $1/g^3$. Evidence for the existence of BPS states with masses that go like $1/g^3$ or higher inverse powers of the string coupling constant g in M-theory compactifications down to three (and lower) dimensions has been emerging in studies of U-duality multiplets of M-theory on tori [9, 8].

If the harmonic functions H are taken to be independent of the eleven-th dimension, then the resulting three-dimensional solutions can be turned into finite energy solutions [5, 7] by utilizing a mechanism first discussed in the context of four-dimensional stringy cosmic string solutions [10]. For instance, the solutions presented in [7] have, at spatial infinity ($z \rightarrow \infty$), an asymptotic behaviour corresponding to $f(z) \propto \ln z$. At finite distance these asymptotic solutions become ill-defined and so need to be modified. The associated corrections are all encoded in $f(z)$. They can be determined by requiring the solutions to have finite energy. This requirement, together with the appropriate asymptotic behaviour, determines $f(z)$ to be given by $f(z) \propto j^{-1}(z)$. In section 2 we will review some of the three-dimensional solutions constructed in [7], namely those which have a ten-dimensional interpretation in terms of a fundamental string, a wave and up to three orthogonally intersecting NS 5-branes as well as up to three Kaluza–Klein monopoles. These solutions are labelled by an integer n with $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The energy E carried by these (one-center) solutions is given by $E = 2n \frac{\pi}{6}$ (in units where $8\pi G_N = 1$).

Another class of solutions constructed in [7] consists of solutions carrying energies $E = n \frac{\pi}{6}$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. An example of a solution with $E = \frac{\pi}{6}$ is given by a wrapped M-monopole. In section 3 we briefly review this solution. We then compare it to the solution recently discussed in [8], which can be constructed by considering a periodic array of M-monopoles along a transverse direction and by identifying this transverse direction with the eleven-th dimension. This latter solution is thus specified by a harmonic function $H(x_{11}, z, \bar{z})$ on $S^1/Z_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

2 A class of finite energy solutions

The effective low-energy field theory of the ten-dimensional heterotic string compactified on a seven-dimensional torus is obtained from reducing the ten-dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity theory coupled to $U(1)^{16}$ super Yang–Mills multiplets (at a generic point in the moduli space). The massless ten-dimensional bosonic fields are the metric $G_{MN}^{(10)}$, the antisymmetric tensor field $B_{MN}^{(10)}$, the $U(1)$ gauge fields $A_M^{(10)I}$ and the scalar dilaton $\Phi^{(10)}$ with ($0 \leq M, N \leq 9$, $1 \leq I \leq 16$). The field strengths are $F_{MN}^{(10)I} = \partial_M A_N^{(10)I} - \partial_N A_M^{(10)I}$ and $H_{MNP}^{(10)} = (\partial_M B_{NP}^{(10)} - \frac{1}{2} A_M^{(10)I} F_{NP}^{(10)I}) + \text{cyclic permutations of } M, N, P$.

The bosonic part of the ten-dimensional action is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \propto \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G^{(10)}} e^{-\Phi^{(10)}} & [\mathcal{R}^{(10)} + G^{(10)MN} \partial_M \Phi^{(10)} \partial_N \Phi^{(10)} \\ & - \frac{1}{12} H_{MNP}^{(10)} H^{(10)MNP} - \frac{1}{4} F_{MN}^{(10)I} F^{(10)IMN}]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The reduction to three dimensions (see [5] and references therein) introduces the graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$, the dilaton $\phi \equiv \Phi^{(10)} - \ln \sqrt{\det G_{mn}}$, with G_{mn} the internal 7D metric, 30 $U(1)$ gauge fields $A_\mu^{(a)} \equiv (A_\mu^{(1)m}, A_{\mu m}^{(2)}, A_\mu^{(3)I})$ ($a = 1, \dots, 30$, $m = 1, \dots, 7$, $I = 1, \dots, 16$), where $A_\mu^{(1)m}$ are the 7 Kaluza–Klein gauge fields coming from the reduction of $G_{MN}^{(10)}$, $A_{\mu m}^{(2)} \equiv B_{\mu m} + B_{mn}A_\mu^{(1)n} + \frac{1}{2}a_m^I A_\mu^{(3)I}$ are the 7 gauge fields coming from the reduction of $B_{MN}^{(10)}$ and $A_\mu^{(3)I} \equiv A_\mu^I - a_m^I A_\mu^{(1)m}$ are the 16 gauge fields from $A_M^{(10)I}$. The field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$ are given by $F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{(a)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{(a)}$. Finally, $B_{MN}^{(10)}$ induces the two-form field $B_{\mu\nu}$ with field strength $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2}A_\mu^{(a)}L_{ab}F_{\nu\rho}^{(b)} +$ cyclic permutations.

The bosonic part of the three-dimensional action in the Einstein frame is then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \{ \mathcal{R} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{12} e^{-4\phi} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{\rho\rho'} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H_{\mu'\nu'\rho'} \\ & - \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\phi} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} (LML)_{ab} F_{\mu'\nu'}^{(b)} + \frac{1}{8} g^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} (\partial_\mu ML \partial_\nu ML) \} , \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $a = 1, \dots, 30$. Here M denotes a matrix comprising the scalar fields G_{mn} , a_m^I and B_{mn} [11, 5].

We now construct static solutions by setting the associated three-dimensional Killing spinor equations to zero. In doing so, we restrict ourselves to backgrounds with $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ and $a_m^I = 0$. It can be checked that the resulting solutions to the Killing spinor equations satisfy the equations of motion derived from (2). The associated three-dimensional Killing spinor equations in the Einstein frame are [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\chi^I &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} F_{\mu\nu}^{(3)I} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon, \\ \delta\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\phi} \partial_\mu \{ \phi + \ln \det e_m^a \} \gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{I}_8 \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\phi} [-B_{mn} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)n} + F_{\mu\nu m}^{(2)}] \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^4 \otimes \Sigma^m \varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi} \partial_\mu B_{mn} \gamma^\mu \otimes \Sigma^{mn} \varepsilon , \\ \delta\psi_\mu &= \partial_\mu \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} (e_{\mu\alpha} e_\beta^\nu - e_{\mu\beta} e_\alpha^\nu) \partial_\nu \phi \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} (e_a^n \partial_\mu e_{nb} - e_b^n \partial_\mu e_{na}) \mathbf{I}_4 \otimes \Sigma^{ab} \varepsilon - \frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi} [e_a^m F_{\mu\nu(m)}^{(2)} - e_{ma} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)m}] \gamma^\nu \gamma^4 \otimes \Sigma^a \varepsilon \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\mu B_{mn} \mathbf{I}_4 \otimes \Sigma^{mn} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi} B_{mn} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)n} \gamma^\nu \gamma^4 \otimes \Sigma^m \varepsilon , \\ \delta\psi_d &= -\frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi} (e_d^m \partial_\mu e_{ma} + e_a^m \partial_\mu e_{md}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^4 \otimes \Sigma^a \varepsilon + \frac{1}{8} e^{-2\phi} e_d^m B_{mn} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)n} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi} e_d^m e_a^n \partial_\mu B_{mn} \gamma^\mu \gamma^4 \otimes \Sigma^a \varepsilon - \frac{1}{8} e^{-2\phi} [e_{md} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)m} + e_d^m F_{\mu\nu m}^{(2)}] \gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\delta\psi_d \equiv e_d^m \delta\psi_m$ denotes the variation of the internal gravitini. Here we have performed a $3 + 7$ split of the ten-dimensional gamma matrices [6].

In the following, we review a particular class of static solutions to the Killing spinor equations (3) constructed in [6, 7]. The solutions in this class are labelled by an integer n ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4$). The associated space-time line element is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + H^{2n} d\omega d\bar{\omega} , \quad H = f(\omega) + \bar{f}(\bar{\omega}) , \quad (4)$$

where $\omega = a \ln z = a(\ln r + i\theta) = \hat{r} + i\hat{\theta}$. Here, $a = \frac{n+1}{2\pi} \sqrt{|\alpha_i \alpha_{i+7}|}$, where α_i and α_{i+7} denote two electric charges carried by each of the solutions in this class. The associated field strengths of the three-dimensional gauge fields are [7]

$$F_{t\beta}^{(1)i} = \eta_{\alpha_i} \sqrt{G_{ii}} \frac{\partial_\beta H}{H^2} \quad , \quad F_{t\beta i}^{(2)} = -\eta_{\alpha_i} \sqrt{G_{ii}} \frac{\partial_\beta H}{H^2} \quad , \quad \beta = \hat{r}, \hat{\theta} \quad , \quad \eta_{\alpha_i} = \pm 1. \quad (5)$$

The three-dimensional dilaton field is given by $e^{-\phi} = H$. The i -th component of the internal metric G_{mn} reads $G_{ii} = |\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i+7}}|$. In addition, there are (depending on the integer n) various additional non-constant background fields G_{mn} and B_{mn} , which are also determined in terms of $f(\omega)$ [7].

Solving the Killing spinor equations (3) does not determine the form of $f(\omega)$. Its form can be determined by demanding the solution to behave as $f(\omega) \approx \frac{\omega}{2(n+1)}$ at spatial infinity [6] and by requiring the solution to have finite energy, as follows [7]. The energy carried by any of the solutions in this class is computed to be (in units where $8\pi G_N = 1$)

$$E = i 2n \int d\omega d\bar{\omega} \frac{\partial_\omega f \partial_{\bar{\omega}} \bar{f}}{(f + \bar{f})^2} = i 2n \int dz d\bar{z} \frac{\partial_z \hat{f} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\hat{f}}}{(\hat{f} + \bar{\hat{f}})^2} \quad , \quad (6)$$

where we have introduced $\hat{f} = \frac{n+1}{\pi} f$ for later convenience. There is an elegant mechanism [10] for rendering the integral (6) finite. Let us take z to be the coordinate of a complex plane. Then there is a one-to-one map from a certain domain F on the $\hat{f}$ -plane (the so called ‘fundamental’ domain) to the z -plane. This map is known as the j -function, $j(\hat{f}) = z$. By means of this map, the integral (6) can be pulled back from the z -plane to the domain F (the z -plane covers F exactly once). Then, by using integration by parts, this integral can be related to a line integral over the boundary of F , which is evaluated to be [10]

$$E = 2n \frac{2\pi}{12} = 2n \frac{\pi}{6} \quad (7)$$

and, hence, is finite. By expanding $j(\hat{f}) = e^{2\pi\hat{f}} + 744 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\pi\hat{f}}) = z$ we recover $f(\omega) \approx \frac{\omega}{2(n+1)}$ at spatial infinity.

We note that the solutions discussed above represent one-center solutions. They can be generalised to multi-center solutions via $j(\hat{f}(z)) = P(z)/Q(z)$, where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are polynomials in z with no common factors. These are the analogue of the multi-string configurations discussed in [10].

It can be checked that the curvature scalar $\mathcal{R} \propto \partial_\omega f \partial_{\bar{\omega}} \bar{f}$ blows up at the special point $\hat{f} = 1$ (at this point, the j -function and its derivatives are given by $j = 1728, j' = 0$), whereas it is well behaved at the point $\hat{f} = e^{i\pi/6}$ (at this point, the j -function and its derivatives are given by $j = j' = j'' = 0$). It would be interesting to understand the physics at this special point in moduli space further.

As mentioned in the introduction the three-dimensional solutions reviewed in this section can be given an eleven dimensional interpretation [7] in terms of orthogonally intersecting M-branes. The two gauge fields (5), in particular, arise from a wave and from a M-2-brane in eleven dimensions, respectively.

3 Wrapped M-monopoles

Another class of solutions constructed in [7] is the class of solutions carrying energy $E = n\frac{\pi}{6}$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. An example of a solution carrying energy $E = \frac{\pi}{6}$ is obtained by compactifying the M-monopole in the following way. The M-monopole in eleven dimensions is given by the metric [12]

$$ds_{11}^2 = -dt^2 + Hdy_i^2 + H^{-1}(d\psi + A_idy_i)^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_6^2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (8)$$

with $H = H(y_i)$, $F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i = c\varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_k H$, $c = \pm$. Here, ψ denotes a periodic variable. Identifying one of the x_i with the eleven-th coordinate and compactifying the remaining x_i on a five-torus yields the five-dimensional line element

$$ds_5^2 = -dt^2 + Hdy_i^2 + H^{-1}(d\psi + A_idy_i)^2. \quad (9)$$

Now, consider the case that H only depends on two of the coordinates y_i , that is $H = H(z, \bar{z})$ with $z = y_2 + iy_3$. Then we can set $A_2 = A_3 = 0$, and $\partial_2 A_1 = -c\partial_3 H$, $\partial_3 A_1 = c\partial_2 H$. The metric is now

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= -dt^2 + Hdzd\bar{z} + Hdy_1^2 + H^{-1}(d\psi + A_1dy_1)^2 \\ &= g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + G_{mn}dx^m dx^n, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the off-diagonal internal metric is given by

$$G_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} H + A_1^2 H^{-1} & A_1 H^{-1} \\ A_1 H^{-1} & H^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

The resulting three-dimensional solution can be turned into a finite energy solution with energy $E = \frac{\pi}{6}$ by using the mechanism described in the previous section.

Let us now compare this solution to the one obtained by identifying the eleven-th dimension not with one of the x_i , but rather with one of the y_i [8]. Compactifying the eleven-dimensional line element (8) on a six-torus yields again the five-dimensional line element (9). Compactifying over ψ yields the four-dimensional line element

$$ds_4^2 = -dt^2 + Hdy_i^2 \quad (12)$$

with internal metric component $G_{\psi\psi} = H^{-1}$ and magnetic gauge field $F_{ij} = c\varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_k H$. The Bianchi identity of F yields $\Delta H = 0$. Now, identifying one of the transverse coordinates y_i with the eleven-th coordinate (say $y_1 = x_{11}$) and setting $z = y_2 + iy_3$ yields the Laplacian as $\Delta = \partial_{x_{11}}^2 + 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}$. Thus, H is now a harmonic function on $S^1/Z_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. In [13] a solution to the Laplace equation on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ was constructed. It can be readily adapted to the case at hand. We take the range of x_{11} to be $x_{11} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, with a periodic identification $x_{11} \sim x_{11} + 1$ of the endpoints. The Z_2 symmetry acts as $x_{11} \rightarrow -x_{11}$. A Z_2 invariant solution to the Laplace equation on $S^1/Z_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is then given by

$$H(x_{11}, z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{|n - \frac{1}{2}|} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x_{11} - (n - \frac{1}{2}))^2 + z\bar{z}}} \right). \quad (13)$$

The constant term in (13) is such that H is regular at $z = 0$ on the orbifold plane $x_{11} = 0$. For $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ H , on the other hand, H reduces to $H \approx \log z\bar{z}$ on the plane $x_{11} = 0$.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank K. Behrndt, M. Bourdeau and E. Verlinde for useful discussions.

References

- [1] M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri and J. X. Lu, *Phys. Rep.* **259** (1995) 213, hep-th/9412184
- [2] J. P. Gauntlett, hep-th/9705011
- [3] A. A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 149, hep-th/9604035
- [4] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, E. Eyras, B. Janssen and J. P. van der Schaar, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 2757, hep-th/9704120
- [5] A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B434** (1995) 179, hep-th/9408083
- [6] I. Bakas, M. Bourdeau and G. L. Cardoso, hep-th/9706032
- [7] M. Bourdeau and G. L. Cardoso, hep-th/9709174
- [8] M. Blau and M. O’Loughlin, hep-th/9712047
C. M. Hull, hep-th/9712075
- [9] S. Elitzur, A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and E. Rabinovici, *Nucl. Phys.* **B509** (1998) 122, hep-th/9707217
- [10] B. R. Greene, A. Shapere, C. Vafa and S.-T. Yau, *Nucl. Phys.* **B337** (1990) 1
- [11] S. Hassan and A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B375** (1992) 103, hep-th/9109038
J. Maharana and J. H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B390** (1993) 3, hep-th/9207016
- [12] R. D. Sorkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 87
D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 29
- [13] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 3296, hep-th/9608079